

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,336	03/18/2004	Gary Stephen Schajer	3752 ·	
7590 01/21/2005			EXAMINER	
GARY S. SCHAJER			FULTON, CHRISTOPHER W	
2505 WEST 6th	- -		ARTINIT I	DARED MEDICE
VANCOUVER, BC V6K 1W4			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			2859	

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

And I
الألال

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/802,336	SCHAJER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher W. Fulton	2859				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· · · ·	-· action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,					
·						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
	ologion roquilomonic.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/802,336 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the specification at page 1 the application number of the provisional application is missing and needs to be inserted into the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes more than one paragraph.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,336

Art Unit: 2859

Page 3

Claim 1 states "in a known way" at line 3 which is makes the claim indefinite since the claim limitations cannot be determined.

Claim 14 states "or any related methods" at line 2 which is makes the claim indefinite since the claim limitations cannot be determined.

6. Claims 1-14 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1, 2, 6-10, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Noss.

The device as claimed is disclosed by Noss with a plurality of distance sensors (1-17) to determine the profile of a surface where the measurement is repeated when the bar (I) has moved

Art Unit: 2859

a distance equal to an integral multiple of the distance between two neighboring transducers (see abstract lines 13-16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 11. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noss in view of Nelson et al.

The device as claimed is disclosed by Noss as stated in the rejection recited above for claims 1, 2, 6-10, and 12-14, but lacks a plurality of sensors on opposite sides of the device to be measured to determine the profile of both sides of the device at the same time.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,336

Art Unit: 2859

Nelson et al teaches using a plurality of sensors on opposite facing sides of a device to measure the device on both sides of the device at the same time. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a plurality of sensors in a facing manner in a device such as Noss as taught by Nelson et al to determine the profile on both sides of a device at the same time to reduce the time needed to determine the needed to determine the profile of both sides of a device.

12. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noss in view of Ohtsuka.

The device as claimed is disclosed by Noss as stated in the rejection recited above for claims 1, 2, 6-10, and 12-14, but lacks two or more parallel lines facing the same surface to determine a profile plane of the workpiece.

Ohtsuka teaches using a plurality of sensors transverse to the direction of movement to determine a profile plane of a workpiece. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use two or more lines of plurality of sensors facing one surface of a workpiece in Noss as taught by Ohtsuka to determine the profile plane of the workpiece.

13. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noss.

The device as claimed is disclosed by Noss as stated in the rejection recited above for claims 1, 2, 6-10, and 12-14, but lacks the specific regulation type being Tikhonov.

Various regulation means such as Tikhonov as are old and well known. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Art Unit: 2859

use any old and well known regulation means such as Tikhonov as common regulation means to perform the intended function.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher W. Fulton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 5:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197, (toll-free).

Christopher W. Fulton Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859